

J. SCOTT GERIEN, ESQ., Bar No. 184728  
 Dickenson, Peatman & Fogarty  
 809 Coombs Street  
 Napa, CA 94559  
 Telephone: (707) 252-7122  
 Facsimile: (707) 255-6876  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
 Counterdefendant, and  
 Third Party Defendant

STEPHEN N. HOLLMAN, ESQ., Bar No. 55219  
 Business & Technology Law Group  
 160 W. Santa Clara Street, Suite 1050  
 San Jose, CA 95113  
 Telephone: (408) 282-1949  
 Facsimile: (408) 275-9930  
 Attorneys for Defendant,  
 Counterclaimant, and  
 Third Party Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WINE SCOUT INTERNATIONAL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PATRICIA CROWELL,

Defendant.

**CASE NO. C 07 05930 JSW**

**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER TO DISMISS THE CASE  
 WITH PREJUDICE**

**[Electronic digital signatures permitted]**

PATRICIA CROWELL, an individual

Counterclaimant,

vs.

WINE SCOUT INTERNATIONAL, a California  
 corporation,

Counterdefendant.

PATRICIA CROWELL, an individual

Third Party Plaintiff,

vs.

MARK STEVEN POPE, aka Mark S. Pope and aka  
 Mark Pope, individually and as he does business  
 under the trade name and style of Bounty Hunter,  
 Bounty Hunter Rare Wine, and/or Bounty Hunter  
 Rare Wine and Provisions,

Third Party Defendant.

CASE NO. 07 05930 JSW  
 JOINT STIPULATION RE DISMISSAL  
 WITH PREJUDICE AND  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the parties, acting through  
2 their undersigned counsel, that, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
3 Procedure, the parties jointly stipulate as follows:

- 4 1. The parties have reached a settlement following a hybrid ADR process.
- 5 2. This matter is dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs.
- 6 3. The Court will expressly retain complete jurisdiction to reopen this action for the  
7 purpose of enforcing performance of the terms of the settlement reached by the parties with each  
8 party consenting to the *in personam* jurisdiction of this Court for said purposes.

9  
10 DATED: September 2, 2008

DICKENSON, PEATMAN & FOGARTY

11  
12 By: /s/ J. Scott Gerien  
13 J. Scott Gerien,  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
Counterdefendant, and  
Third Party Defendant

15 DATED: September 2, 2008

BUSINESS & TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP

16  
17 By: /s/ Stephen N. Hollman  
18 Stephen N. Hollman,  
19 Attorneys for Defendant,  
Counterclaimant, and  
Third Party Plaintiff,

20 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

21 After consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action  
22 is dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs, and the Court will expressly retain  
23 complete jurisdiction to reopen this action for the purpose of enforcing performance of the terms of  
24 the settlement reached by the parties with each party consenting to the *in personam* jurisdiction of  
25 this Court for said purposes.

26 DATED: September \_\_\_\_, 2008

\_\_\_\_\_  
27 Hon. Jeffrey S. White  
28 United States District Court Judge